



# First preliminary result from new AdriaArray data in Vrancea

Renata Lukešová





Vrancea zone





# AdriaArray - Local experiments: Denser network in Romania



not assigned

Serbian Pool





BACKBONE STATIONS (RO04A, RO07A, RO17A, RO35A) sensor: Guralp 3ESPC 120s 100Hz 2x1000 V/m/s digitizer: Guralp minimus (4-channel) sampling frequency: 100 Hz

The digital data recorded by these stations are transmitted in real time to the NIEP node of EIDA.



























LOCAL EXPERIMENT STATIONS (RO43A, RO44A, RO45A, RO46A) GIPP (Geophysical Instrument Pool Potsdam) - GFZ Potdsdam sensor: Trillium Compact - Model TC120-SV1 (16838) digitizer: Earth Data EDR-210 sampling frequency: 100 Hz

The digital data recorded by these stations are transmitted in real time to the NIEP node of EIDA.











# Vrancea – example of measured data Earthquake M = 4.1 2023-07-30 04:20:06.5 UTC Depth 123 km





Region	ROMANIA
Date time	2023-12-03 22:05:48.6 UTC
Location	45.637 ; 26.407
Depth	137 km
Distance	62 km E of Braşov, Romania / pop: 2 26 km N of Nehoiu, Romania / pop: 1



2023-12-03T22:05:45.63 - 2023-12-03T22:08:51.44

2023-12-03T22:05:45.77 - 2023-12-03T22:08:51.05







2023-12-03T22:05:46.62 - 2023-12-03T22:08:50.56



2023-12-03T22:05:46.03 - 2023-12-03T22:08:52.03



2023-12-03T22:05:44.71 - 2023-12-03T22:08:49.07















2023.01 2023.02 2023.03 2023.04 2023.05 2023.06 2023.01 2023.08 2023.09 2023.10 2023.11









26°E 28°E







24°E

26°E 28°E







26°E 28°E







26°E







26°E 28°E

🔢 AdriaArray — ORFEUS documer 🗙 🕂  $\sim$ 25 orfeus.readthedocs.io/en/latest/adria\_array\_main.html C

#### 🕒 YouTube ♀ Mapy 🛅 Seimology 🗅 Majakoviny 🗋 Historicke seismog... M Gmail



#### AdriaArray

AdriaArray - Mission AdriaArray - Logo AdriaArray - Current deployment AdriaArray - Station map AdriaArray - Station properties AdriaArray - Relation to EPOS AdriaArray - Organization AdriaArray - Working Groups AdriaArray - Data analysis and research AdriaArray - Seismic networks

AdriaArray Communication & Outreach

### AdriaArray - Logo

The logo was discussed at the third AdriaArray international workshop in Dubrovnik, Croatia, on 3-5 April 2023. The logo was approved during the AdriaArray Splinter meeting (EGU General Assembly on April 27th, 2023). Several versions (by Claudia Piromallo and Hana Kampfová Exnerová) are available and can be downloaded from the AdriaArray GitHub repository.

Central in the west to the Carpathians in the east, from the Alps in the north to the Calabrian

exchange. Analyses of seismicity and multi-scale passive seismic imaging will lay the ground for

Arc and mainland Greece in the south. The deployment of seismic stations and scientific research is coordinated by the AdriaArray Seismology Group based on FAIR and open data

a physical understanding and modelling of plate deformation and associated geohazards.

C Edit on GitHub

#### AdriaArray - Current deployment

#### 🔢 AdriaArray - Seismic networks - 🗙 🕂

Of use orfeus.readthedocs.io/en/latest/adria\_array\_seismicnetworks.html#adriaarray-data-availability

M Gmail 🛛 YouTube 💡 Mapy 🗋 Seimology 🗋 Majakoviny 🗋 Historicke seismog...

#### COMMUNITY SERVICES:

 $\sim$ 

#### ORFEUS Software Development Grants

**ORFEUS Data Integration Grants** 

Focus Section on European Seismic Networks and Associated Services and Products

Conference Sessions

EPOS Seismology Workshop 2023

#### ADRIAARRAY INITIATIVE:

AdriaArray

AdriaArray - Organization

AdriaArray - Working Groups

AdriaArray - Data analysis and research

AdriaArray - Seismic networks

AdriaArray GitHub repository

Stations maps

Stations list

Network & status of station operation

AdriaArray - Local experiments

#### AdriaArray - Data availability

EIDA Nodes

Data access options [permanent stations]

Data access options [temporary stations]

⊕ How to access the data?

How to cite the data?

 AdriaArray - Data retrievability and quality

AdriaArray Communication & Outreach

#### How to access the data?

Permanent stations, temporary stations with open access as well as metadata (stationXML) are available to AdriaArray members and non members through EIDA nodes.

In order to access the embargoed AdriaArray data, EIDA Token is needed. The token act as login and passwords while requesting waveforms.

#### EIDA Token %

- The seismic data will be available to the participants through EIDA nodes.
- The metadata are openly available, but accessing the embargoed waveforms requires an authentification.

In order to get a token that would give you access to the embargoed AdriaArray data, the procedure is the following:

#### 1. Register to B2Access

If you already have a B2Access account, go to 2) If you do not have a B2Access account, please visit this link

Please go to the link "No account, sign up". You need to create a B2Access user account (either with a username or with a certificate, up to you, no difference here). The most important is that you need to click "Select group:" and **request to be part of EPOS**. Otherwise, you won't be able to access the data.

#### 2. Granting permission.

Then, please let the representative of Working Group 'Communication and Outreach' know which email was registered, to be added to the AdriaArray group.

Some nodes (as NIEP) require a manual update of the email list, and it may take a few more days to access the full database (i.e. Y8 network code).

With this token, you should have access to all the AdriaArray data that are online. Any token generated before being added to the EPOS group will not give you access to the embargoed data. The representative of Working Group 'Communication and Outreach



Cumulative (empty rectangles) and non-cumulative (full triangles) number of earthquakes versus magnitude for the intermediatedepth Vrancea earthquakes (ROMPLUS catalog, 60–220 km depth), period **2005–2013**,  $M \ge 3.0$ . The earthquake data are complete above Mc = 3.0 (indicated by an inverted triangle). The black curve is a fit to the data, with the a- and b-values of the frequencymagnitude relation determined using a maximum likelihood procedure. (**b**) Cumulative number of earthquakes with time (years), for two threshold magnitudes (3.0 and 3.2).

Enescu, B.; Ghita, C.; Moldovan, I.-A.; Radulian, M. Revisiting Vrancea (Romania) Intermediate-Depth Seismicity: Some Statistical Characteristics and Seismic Quiescence Testing. Geosciences 2023, 13, 219. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences13070219</u>



Histogram of earthquakes (2005–2013,  $M \ge 3.2$ ) as a function of depth for Vrancea intermediate-depth earthquakes.

Enescu, B.; Ghita, C.; Moldovan, I.-A.; Radulian, M. Revisiting Vrancea (Romania) Intermediate-Depth Seismicity: Some Statistical Characteristics and Seismic Quiescence Testing. Geosciences 2023, 13, 219. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences13070219</u>



Magnitude versus time for the intermediate-depth Vrancea earthquakes, from 1960–1999. The threshold magnitude is M = 4.0. The three largest earthquakes during the studied period are marked in the figure (1977 M7.4, 1986 M7.1, and 1990 M6.9 Vrancea earthquakes).

# Team:

Mgr. Lucia Fojtíková, Ph.D., Mgr. Renata Lukešová, Ph.D., RNDr. Jiří Málek, Ph.D. RNDr. Václav Vavryčuk, DrSc., Mgr. Jan Valenta, Ph.D., RNDr. Jiří Vackář, Ph.D. Mgr. Martin Mazanec - PhD student Mgr. Milosz Wcislo (Mgr) - PhD student

**Precise location of microearthquakes**: For the determination of P and S wave onsets, we will apply recently developed methods, e.g., a normalized cross-correlation of effective functions for clustering different seismic sequences (e.g., Vlček et al., 2018). Microearthquakes will be located using the double-difference technique. The expected number of events is many tens of thousands every year, therefore automated procedures have to be applied.

*Focal mechanisms:* Focal mechanisms will be computed using different approaches, e.g., BayesISOLA, which are automated method for determination of the source mechanism with uncertainties described in Bayesian formulation (Vackář et al., 2017) and/or the method: Cyclic Scanning of the Polarity Solutions (CSPS), which can be efficiently adopted where weak events are recorded (Fojtíková and Zahradník, 2014). We will attempt to calculate the full moment tensors even for microearthquakes. The agreement between nodal planes of the individual sources and possible source clustering on planar faults will be investigated. The superior station coverage of the area, providing a reference focalmechanism solution, is a unique opportunity to investigate and test methodologies for calculating moment tensors in a sparse network (which is modeled as a subset of the reference station network).

**Existence of seismic tremors**: Seismic tremors are typical for regions with active volcanic activity. However, non-volcanic tremors were also detected in many regions. For instance, episodic tremors have been correlated with rupture characteristic in subducting oceanic lithosphere (Burlini et al., 2009). The most probable hypothesis is that non-volcanic tremors are connected with movement of crustal fluids. The presence or absence of seismic tremors and their localization can significantly contribute to the debate about nature of the seismicity in the Vrancea region.

Tomography based on direct P and S waves from local earthquakes: New data obtained from AdriaArray enables one to construct a more precise and reliable model for Vrancea seismic zone from the surface to 180 km depth - the depth range of the hypocenters. Inversion for a velocity model from travel times generated by local microearthquakes needs a special technique because there is a strong trade-off between hypocenter locations and the velocity model. It is a non-linear inverse problem, which is solved iteratively with the relocation of all earthquakes at each iteration (Málek et al. 2005, Málek et al., 2023). A very fast isometric method was developed for this inverse problem which enables one to compute hundreds of parameters of the velocity model while using millions of onset times of direct P and S waves. This method will be enhanced in the scope of our project. We will determine a velocity model that predicts precise travel times. More precise absolute locations of hypocenters can be determined from this model.

Amplitude tomography and site-specific GMPE dependent on the hypocenter depth: Isometric inversion method will be used also for amplitude tomography based on amplitudes of direct P and S waves – it will be used for the Vrancea seismic zone though the hypocenters for Vrancea are much deeper than in Iceland. With this approach, we can find a 3D attenuation (Qp and Qs) model of the region. We will be looking closely for low-value anomalies of Qs, which could imply the presence of partially melted rocks or even the presence of magma.

A second objective will be a site-specific Ground Motion Prediction Equation (GMPE) for the region. This is essential for seismic hazard assessment. For the Vrancea region, it is important to find the sensivitive of the GMPE on hypocenter depth because the strong earthquakes have originated at various depths. Analysis of seismic attenuation from seismic body waves will provide additional information about the tectonic structures in the Vrancea region. Varying depths of the hypocenter will allow us to determine Q in the source area (Wcisło et al., 2018). Q anomalies are often significantly stronger than velocity anomalies. Additionally, the increase/decrease in seismic velocities is not necessarily tied to a corresponding change in seismic attenuation (Pham et al., 2002). Therefore, analysis of QP/QS ratio in the region can provide complementary information - particularly in regards to the discussion about possible slab detachment from the crust.

# Děkuji za pozornost! Vă mulțumim pentru atenție!





